





Background and Implementation Statement

Background

The regulatory landscape continues to evolve as ESG becomes increasingly important to regulators and society. The Department for Work and Pensions ('DWP') has increased the focus around ESG policies and stewardship activities by issuing regulatory guidance relating to voting and engagement policies and activities. These regulatory changes recognise the importance of managing ESG factors as part of a Trustee's fiduciary duty.

Implementation Statement

This Implementation Statement is to provide evidence that the Scheme continues to follow and act on the principles outlined in the Statement of Investment Principles ('the SIP'). The Scheme's current SIP is dated May 2024 (updated post year end).

The Implementation Statement details:

- · actions (the Scheme) has taken to manage financially material risks and implement the key policies in its SIP
- the current policy and approach with regards to ESG and the actions taken with managers on managing ESG risks
- the extent to which the Scheme has followed policies on engagement covering engagement actions with its fund managers and in turn the engagement activity of the fund managers with the companies in the investment mandate
- · voting behaviour covering the reporting year up to 5 April 2024 for and on behalf of the Scheme including the most significant votes cast by the Scheme or on its behalf

Summary of key actions undertaken over the Scheme reporting year

During the reporting year, the Trustee reviewed the Scheme's LDI manager and decided to transition from Columbia Threadneedle to Insight Investment. Concurrently, the LDI mandate was updated with more up to date liability data. Since one of the Columbia Threadneedle LDI funds included some equity exposure (achieved synthetically), the Trustee chose to invest in a separate equity fund when the LDI funds were replaced. For this purpose, the Trustee opted for the World Equity Fund from LGIM. Additionally, the strategic allocation and hedging level were modified as part of a broader strategy review.

Implementation Statement

This report demonstrates that the Trustee has adhered to its investment principles and its policies for managing financially material considerations including ESG factors and climate change.

This Implementation Statement was approved by Andrew Hitchcock and Joe Porter on behalf of Ross Trustees Services Limited (part of Independent Governance Group) acting as Trustee of the Scheme. Date: 09 September 2024.

Managing risks and policy actions

Risk / Policy	Definition	Policy	Actions and details on changes to policy
Interest rates and inflation	The risk of mismatch between the value of the Scheme assets and present value of liabilities from changes in interest rates and inflation expectations.	To hedge broadly 80% of these risks with the use of Liability Driven Investment.	Updated during the year
Liquidity	Difficulties in raising sufficient cash when required without adversely impacting the fair market value of the investment.	To maintain a sufficient allocation to liquid assets so that there is a prudent buffer to pay members' benefits as they fall due (including transfer values).	No changes have been made to the policy.
Market	Experiencing losses due to factors that affect the overall performance of the financial markets.	To remain appropriately diversified and hedge away any unrewarded risks, where practicable.	No changes have been made to the policy.
Credit	Default on payments due as part of a financial security contract.	To diversify this risk by investing in a range of credit markets across different geographies and sectors.	No changes have been made to the policy.
		To select investment managers on the platform who actively manage this risk by seeking to invest only in debt securities where the yield available sufficiently compensates the Scheme for the risk of default.	
Environmental, Social and Governance	Exposure to Environmental, Social and Governance factors, including but not limited to climate change, which can impact the performance of the	To appoint managers who satisfy the following criteria, unless there is a good reason why the manager does not satisfy each criteria:	No changes have been made to the policy.
	Scheme's investments.	1. Responsible Investment ('RI') Policy / Framework	
		2. Implemented via Investment Process	
		3. A track record of using engagement and any voting rights to manage ESG factors	

		4. ESG specific reporting5. UN PRI Signatory	
Currency	The potential for adverse currency movements to have an impact on the Scheme's investments.	Hedge all currency risk.	No changes have been made to the policy
Non-financial	Any factor that is not expected to have a financial impact on the Scheme's investments.	Non-financial matters are not taken into account in the selection, retention or realisation of investments	No changes have been made to the policy.

Changes to the SIP

Post year end, The Trustee updated the Scheme's Statement of Investment Principles and Investment Implementation Document following the LDI and strategy review. A collateral policy was also added to the Investment Implementation Document.

The strategic allocation is shown below.

Asset Class	Asset Allocation
LDI	33%
Equity	16%
Diversified Growth Fund	15%
Multi Asset Credit	25%
Diversified Alternatives	11%
Total	100%
Hedging level (on Technical Provisions basis)	80%

Current ESG policy and approach

ESG as a financially material risk

The SIP describes the Scheme's policy with regards to ESG as a risk as it potentially could have a material impact on investment risk and return outcomes. The Trustee also recognises that long-term sustainability issues, particularly climate change, present risks and opportunities that increasingly may require explicit consideration. This section details how the Scheme's ESG policy is implemented.

The assets of the Scheme are invested in pooled vehicles through the Mobius Life Platform and the Trustee accepts that pooled investments will be governed by the individual policies of the investment manager. These policies are reviewed as part of the consideration of pooled investments. As such, the Trustee has given their platform provider and investment manager full discretion in evaluating ESG factors, including climate change considerations, exercising voting rights and stewardship obligations attached to the investments, in accordance with their own corporate governance policies and current best practice, including the UK Corporate Governance Code and UK Stewardship Code.

The Trustee is increasingly considering how ESG, climate change and stewardship is integrated within investment processes in appointing new investment managers and monitoring the existing investment managers. The Trustee will consider the ESG ratings provided by their Investment Advisor and/or platform provider on how the investment manager embeds ESG factors into its investment process. A change in ESG rating (or lack of ESG rating) does not mean that the fund will be removed or replaced automatically.

Engagement and Voting

The Trustee has appointed Mobius Life as the platform provider which implements polices on their behalf. Mobius Life has adopted the managers definitions of significant votes and has not set stewardship priorities. The managers have provided examples of votes they deem to be significant, and Mobius Life has shown the votes relating to the greatest exposure within the Scheme's investment. When requesting data annually, Mobius Life informs the managers what they deem most significant.

Please see the attached document from Mobius Life for details on engagement and voting actions including a summary of the activity, covering the 12-month period ending 5 April 2024.

www.isio.com

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavour to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation

Geodis UK Pension Scheme - IS0008850

ESG REPORT – 31-Mar-2024



Summary



Scheme: Geodis UK Pension Scheme - IS0008850

Statement Date: 31-March-2024

Fund	Value (£)	% Holding
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Shorter Real Fund	0.00	0.00%
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Longer Real Fund	0.00	0.00%
Partners Group Generations Fund	0.00	0.00%
Schroder Life Intermediated Diversified Growth Fund	0.00	0.00%
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Shorter Nominal Fund	0.00	0.00%
L&G Life KY World Equity Index Fund GBP Currency Hedged	0.00	0.00%
M&G Total Return Credit Investment Fund	0.00	0.00%
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Longer Nominal Fund	0.00	0.00%

Summary



Fund	Fund Manager ESG Credentials	Fund ESG Credentials	
Pullu	Data Provided by FM as at	% Qs answered	Data Provided by FM as at
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Shorter Real Fund	3/31/2024 12:00:00 AM	95	3/31/2024 12:00:00 AM
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Longer Nominal Fund	3/31/2024 12:00:00 AM	95	3/31/2024 12:00:00 AM
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Shorter Nominal Fund	3/31/2024 12:00:00 AM	95	3/31/2024 12:00:00 AM
M&G Total Return Credit Investment Fund	3/31/2024 12:00:00 AM	95	3/31/2024 12:00:00 AM
L&G Life KY World Equity Index Fund GBP Currency Hedged	31/12/2023	97	31/12/2023
Partners Group Generations Fund	31/03/2024	93	31/03/2024
Insight LDI Enhanced Selection Longer Real Fund	3/31/2024 12:00:00 AM	95	3/31/2024 12:00:00 AM
Schroder Life Intermediated Diversified Growth Fund	3/31/2024 12:00:00 AM	97	3/31/2024 12:00:00 AM

Disclaimer:

This report has been designed by Mobius Life to support Pension Schemes. Mobius Life understand the information produced in this report may be used as an input for an implementation statement but is not responsible for producing the implementation statement. When compiling this report, Mobius Life has shared all the information provided by the external fund manager. Where a response field is blank this means the question is not applicable or a response was not provided by the fund manager.

Mobius Life accepts no responsibility or liability for the accuracy or content of the data provided by the external fund managers.



Questions	Insight Investment		
Do you have an ESG policy that is integrated into the investment process?	Yes A complete copy of our Responsible Investment Policy can be found by using the following link: https://www.insightinvestment.com/globalassets/documents/responsible-investment/responsible-investment-reports/responsible-investment-policy.pdf	Are Senior Management accountable for ESG or Climate Change risks?	Insight's Board recognises that delivering effective stewardship includes many different facets of an organisation and, as such, there are multiple reporting lines within Insight that feed directly and indirectly into the Board. Insight has aimed to integrate ESG-related activities into its business-as-usual processes. Establishing key committees such as the IROC (see below for more information) has been one way of achieving this, and progress on ESG issues can also be found in quarterly reports provided to the Board. Other forums such as the Remuneration Committee play a key role in ensuring alignment of interests between Insight staff and underlying investors. The EMC and/or its sub-committees are typically responsible for designing initiatives that contribute towards good stewardship. The CEO, Global CIO and Global Head of Distribution are members of both the Executive Management Committee (EMC) and the Board, and are responsible for updating the Board or responsible investment and stewardship-related issues, including at Board strategy meetings. The Board is therefore kept abreast of key initiatives and will provide challenges to such initiatives, where appropriate. A key objective of the Board is to promote the long-term success of the business and the Board typically assesses proposed strategies and initiatives with this in mind. The day-to-day management of Insight is delegated to the CEO with the support of the EMC. Acting within its limits, the EMC considers best practices pertaining to stewardship activities and shares proposals and/or outcomes with the Board for directors to consider, challenge and/or approve. Where necessary, the Board will also request certain processes be put in place and/or request a deep-dive on a topic on which it is seeking further details. Responsible investment and stewardship activities have broad applications across Insight's operational and investment functions. As a result, processes are applied holistically, and responsibilities are integrated throughout the business. C
Do you have a firm ESG rating?	No -	Do you have a dedicated team that considers ESG and Climate Change related factors?	Yes At Insight, we believe that delivering superior investment solutions depends on the effective management of the risks and opportunities presented by ESG issues, as well as other long-term value drivers. As such, Insight's approach to stewardship and responsible investment is the responsibility of all investment teams and



Questions	Insight Investment		
			decision-makers, supported, championed and overseen by our dedicated Responsible Investment Team and governance structure. Responsible Investment Team, led by Robert Sawbridge, Head of Responsible Investment, is embedded within Insight's investment management team, reporting to Lucy Speake, Co-Head of Fixed Income and Head of Euro and UK Credit. Robert, as Head of Responsible Investment, guides and oversees the overall responsible investment programme at Insight across asset classes and investment teams. Robert's primary focus is on ensuring effective integration of responsible investment across investment teams as well as defining and implementing the investment strategy and parameters of our responsible investment solutions. Such solutions are subject to discussion and approval by dedicated fixed income implementation groups, whose members consist of investment desk heads, for the various asset classes in which we invest. The Responsible Investment Team's focus is broadly split into three key areas: stewardship, investment and quantitative analysis, as follows: - Stewardship: Rhona Cornack and Christopher Huynh, as Senior Stewardship Analysts, are responsible for setting the engagement strategy for Insight, including the identification of Insight's prioritised ESG themes. Additionally, they lead the stewardship and engagement process with issuers, which includes using Insight's proprietary tools to identify laggards, and developing engagement approaches tailored to each issuer Investment: David McNeil, as the Head of Responsible Investment Research and Innovation, is responsible for leading Insight's responsible investment research activities. Fabien Collado, our dedicated ESG Solutions Specialist, focuses on the design and development of our ESG products and solutions. Annabel Jennings, ESG Analyst, is responsible for impact assessment of use-of-proceeds bonds and ESG projects, while supporting the team with operational aspects of our ESG processes and stewardship. Ruth Hannigan, ESG Portfolio Analyst, is
Please provide your UNPRI survey scores	Insight was a founding signatory to the United Nations (UN)-supported Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI) in 2006 and became the first asset manager to produce a comprehensive report detailing how we meet our commitments as a signatory. Insight adopted a deliberately conservative approach to firm-level attestations (reporting on the year to 31 March 2023) in our PRI submission to avoid generalisations which could be interpreted as overstatements. The reporting module is open to manager interpretation and requires managers to be comfortable generalising. The range of approaches taken to this means that any comparisons across managers is not like-for-like. While the PRI reopened their reporting in 2023, the template does not yet cater for managers with broad and deep fixed income capability,	Do you rely on any third parties to provide ESG and Climate Change related analysis/research?	Insight's proprietary Prime Corporate ESG Ratings, Prime Climate Risk Ratings and Prime Sovereign ESG Risk Ratings datasets are supplemented by and incorporate numerous third-party datasets. The external data sources are selected and reviewed by Insight's Responsible Investment Team in conjunction with the Credit Analysis Team. In our view, there is no single 'golden source', so we have taken data from a variety of sources, supplemented with our own analysis. In forming our proprietary tools and scoring frameworks we effectively supplement our analysts' research with data from multiple third-party data providers, such as: * MSCI * Sustainalytics * Vigeo Eiris * RepRisk * S&P Trucost * CDP * Science-Based Targets initiative * Transition Pathway Initiative * Climate Action 100+ * ICE We also incorporate open-source data from: * World Bank * V-Dem * Freedom House * Transparency International * IMF * Fragile States Index As we



Questions	Insight Investment		
	customised/segregated mandates and/or operations spanning multiple jurisdictions with different regulatory regimes. Insight is participating in PRI working groups to provide further input to develop the reporting mechanism to encourage adequate flexibility to accommodate disclosures for a broader range of sub asset classes and variety of investment approaches. We provide reporting through several firm-level reporting initiatives and would point you to our Responsible Investment annual report and the relevant strategy-level documentation for details of our investment approach. We set out our relevant firm level 2023 modular scores below: Policy Governance and Strategy: **** Confidence building measures: ****		believe Insight teams should be directly accountable for their stewardship activities, we typically only use third-party providers for undertaking stewardship services when necessary. The exception is for collaborative engagements where we will work through membership bodies to undertake stewardship activities on a case-by-case basis.
Do you have a Climate Change policy that is integrated into the investment process?	Yes Please refer to the following link for details of our annual Climate Change Report: https://www.insightinvestment.com/investing-responsibly/perspectives/insight-climate-change-report-2023/	Do you create your own ESG or Climate Change related scores	Insight is focused on precision investment and risk management and aims to help our clients achieve their goals. Information on material ESG risks can be crucial for effective investment decisions, but ESG data providers often disagree, and there are gaps in available information. We decided to apply our years of experience in analysing ESG risks in taking data from multiple inputs, selected and adjusted for relevance and materiality using our in-house expertise, to generate ESG ratings that we believe more accurately and reliably reflect material risks. This led us to create Prime: Insight's proprietary ESG ratings, with ESG and climate risk ratings focused on corporate issuers, and ESG risk and impact ratings for sovereign issuers. Prime ratings are generated using inputs from numerous ESG data providers, adjusted for quality and relevance by Insight's credit and data experts. Our proprietary methodology aggregates, weights and maps these adjusted inputs, according to their significance for different sectors, geographies, etc. Proprietary systems are in place to feed 'Prime' data, in a consistent way, with the aim of helping our analysts and portfolio managers consider material ESG risks, informing their decision-making and engagement, and to enable tailored portfolios for clients requesting specific sustainability criteria. Our three sets of Prime ratings are as follows: * Prime Corporate ESG Ratings: First launched in 2016 with a number of enhancements since, our Prime Corporate ESG Ratings tool assesses issuers' ESG risk. This quantitative framework effectively integrates our analysts' research, supplemented with data from multiple third-party data providers. The tool generates a Prime ESG Rating for more than 3,000 investment grade, high-yield and emerging market issuers. * Prime Climate Risk Ratings: First launched in 2017 with a number of enhancements since, the Prime Sovereign ESG Risk Ratings are structured around the Financial Stability Board Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)
Does your company have a policy on equality and diversity in the workplace?	Yes Please see copy of our DEI Policy(available upon request).	Do you provide any reporting publicly or to clients with regard to ESG and Climate Change related issues? How often?	Yes All clients at Insight receive reporting in line with their stated monthly, quarterly or annual reporting requirements, and we regularly engage with them to ensure our reporting provides the information and transparency they require. Responsible investment is now a topic at most client meetings, and to reflect this significant interest, our reporting to clients may now include reporting on ESG factors, regardless of whether their mandate includes specific ESG exclusions, constraints or targets. Derivative instruments For strategies in which exposure is taken mainly through the form of derivatives it presents reporting challenges. Any data relating to these strategies gives an indication of economic exposure and does not imply 'ownership'. In particular, extending this to carbon numbers can lead to misleading



Questions	Insight Investment		
			conceptions of what a 'carbon footprint' entails. Insight is working hard to develop reports which give a meaningful representation of carbon profiles for derivative-heavy strategies; however, as it stands, we feel the data is insufficient and potentially misleading. We are looking to work with the wider market to support the development of an appropriate solution for ESG reporting for derivatives. We will of course keep you informed when reporting is available in this regard.
and funds?	Philosophically, we aim to embed ESG considerations wherever they are relevant to our investment activities. As our stewardship and responsible investment activity continues to evolve, our incentive structure is under continuous review to ensure that objectives and related incentives also develop to reflect this focus. As such, stewardship activity is embedded within the remuneration structure of key employees at Insight. For all Insight's staff, performance is measured against a framework of objectives covering business as usual activities, initiatives, and conduct, the latter of which accounts for 20% to 40% of an employee's annual performance assessment. Conduct includes a review of an employee's performance with reference to their core behaviours; leadership and management; and organisational priorities. In 2022, the organisational priorities were updated to include a reference to "The extent to which you add value beyond your role by contributing to key organisational priorities including keeping abreast of Insight's ESG aspirations and acting to support their achievement." Insight's portfolio managers have one and three-year performance objectives to align their activity to a suitable time horizon, with ESG objectives customised to reflect their specific activities. Portfolio managers responsible for dedicated ESG strategies or mandates with client-specified ESG criteria will also have a formal objective in their review. The outcome of the performance appraisal is linked closely to any discretionary compensation element. ESG objectives for multi-asset All members of the Multi-Asset Strategy Group have specific ESG-related (including stewardship) objectives. Consequentially, they are incentivised to actively prioritise ESG in their investment decision-making or manage portfolios that align with the concept of stewardship bringing sustainable benefits for the economy, environment and society. The outcome of the performance appraisal is linked closely to any discretionary compensation element. Performance	Are you signatories of the FRC UK Stewardship Code or equivalent?	Yes Please refer to the following link for details of our latest stewardship report: https://www.insightinvestment.com/investing-responsibly/stewardship-report-2023/

Questions	Insight Investment	
	ratings critically * Ensure ESG ratings are noted and commented on as follows: - All '5' ratings are commented on and explained - All new issuers/new positions commented on regardless of ESG scores being strong/weak - As far as possible, all company engagements are to include some ESG questions * Undertake a minimum of two company-specific ESG deep-dive engagements as agreed with the Head of Credit Analysis * Undertake a minimum of two ESG-related training sessions/courses/conferences relevant to your sector/broader investment landscape and document attendance	

Questions	M&G Investments		
Do you have an ESG policy that is integrated into the investment process?	Our policy document sets out the Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) investment policy relating to M&G Group Limited ('MGG') investment and asset management businesses and activities ('M&G Investments') excluding M&G Investments Southern Africa (MGSA) and ResponsAbility. The assets in scope are all the assets directly managed by M&G Investments on behalf of its clients, including the internal asset owner, whether or not they are held within funds with a specific ESG objective or promoting ESG characteristics.	Are Senior Management accountable for ESG or Climate Change risks?	The Board is ultimately responsible for setting M&G's sustainability strategy and ESG values and principles. The Board delegates specific duties to sub-committees as follows: Reporting in the Annual Report and Accounts and any other material public documents in respect of climate change and ESG matters (for compliance with relevant regulations, legislation and standards) is included in the Audit Committee's terms of reference, available on our website. Assessment of ESG risk within the Group Risk Management Framework, including climate-related stress and scenario testing, the reporting of climate-related risk disclosures and provision of advice to the Board in setting M&G's ESG strategy, is included in the Risk Committee's terms of reference, available on our website. Responsibility for sustainability at an individual level is assigned to our Chief Financial Officer, who has previous experience in climate stress testing and sustainable impact investing.
Do you have a firm ESG rating?	MSCI ESG Score: A	Do you have a dedicated team that considers ESG and Climate Change related factors?	The central ESG team at M&G Investments is the Stewardship & Sustainability (S&S) team, which currently comprises of about 28 M&G employees. The team was restructured under new leadership in last year. Additionally, there are numerous ESG specialists across the floor, embedded in investment teams. At M&G we believe that ESG integration should occur in all parts of our investment business and to reflect this everyone has an objective to this end. We look to continuously monitor S&S team resourcing levels to ensure the best quality of service is provided to clients. M&G will also ensure and make it the responsibility of all team members to keep up to date with the rapidly changing landscape of ESG to leverage resources effectively. The S&S team works collaboratively, both directly and via the analysts, to equip managers to make better-informed decisions, knowing the full spectrum of ESG risks that could impact their portfolios, as well as where these risks may be concentrated within certain issuers or holdings. By working in conjunction with the credit and equity analysts on ESG, the S&S team is able to ensure that ESG risks and opportunities are considered throughout the full investment process, as well as in the monitoring of companies.
Please provide your UNPRI survey scores	Please see our summary scorecard for 2023 in above image. The PRI has made reporting in 2024 voluntary for most investor signatories that reported publicly in 2023. After careful consideration, M&G Investments has decided to use this year to streamline our approach and focus on evolving our sustainability programs. Our 2023 scores are publicly available and have been deemed valid by the PRI. We will resume reporting next year.	Do you rely on any third parties to provide ESG and Climate Change related analysis/research?	Yes We have portal and data access with a number of ESG vendors, including MSCI, Bloomberg, ISS, Sustainalytics and other specialist advisers. In addition, we obtain ESG data through authorised aggregators or channels, including Bloomberg, Factset, Refinitiv Eikon, Dasseti and Aladdin.
Do you have a Climate Change policy	Yes	Do you create your own ESG or Climate	Yes



Questions	M&G Investments		
that is integrated into the investment process?	As a large investor in both public and private assets, our strategy is focused on positive real-world change, using the levers we have to support the climate transition and help our clients manage the risks and opportunities brought by climate change. This means taking action to align our investments so that they contribute to and thrive in a low-carbon world. From an investment perspective, we can influence decarbonisation through three key channels: – Investment strategies: Making changes to our investment portfolios – Stewardship: Engaging issuers to implement ambitious transition plans – Advocacy: Engaging with industry and policy makers Alongside portfolio decarbonisation, we can play an important role in helping to finance and enable climate solutions, to accelerate the transition. At M&G, we consider this a structural growth opportunity we are well placed to support, both in developed and emerging markets. Our stewardship approach is based on our belief that divestment does not have a direct impact on real-world emissions reductions. Our priority as an active, long-term investor is to encourage change through engagement and voting.	Change related scores	M&G Investments Corporate ESG Scorecard acknowledges the qualitative nature of many ESG considerations, and allows analysts to express their views in primarily qualitative terms, within the context of a structured and disciplined framework. The scorecard enables consideration of key ESG factors and comparison of management and performance by companies. The scorecard has been designed so as to provide a ranking of companies either on a total ESG basis or on any of the constituent parts of environmental, social or governance. The output is therefore separate scores for E, S, G, Climate and an overall ESG score.
Does your company have a policy on equality and diversity in the workplace?	Pres Diversity & Inclusion is a strategic objective. At all levels of the business we recognise the benefits of having a diverse team that feels valued and listened to. It helps us think and act differently, adopt new ideas and better relate to the needs of our customers. An inclusive environment makes us more accessible and ensures we attract, engage, promote and retain the best talent. We embrace our differences and remove barriers to inclusivity so everyone can be high performing and deliver results.	Do you provide any reporting publicly or to clients with regard to ESG and Climate Change related issues? How often?	Our ESG related KPIs and non-financial and sustainability information statement can be found our 2023 Annual Report and Accounts (available upon request). Please refer to page 19 for the non-financial KPIS, pages 46 onwards for our position statement and pages 60-68 for details on principal risks including ESG risk in our Annual Report to see further details: https://www.mandg.com/~/media/Files/M/MandG-Plc/documents/investors/2024/mg-plc-2023-annual-report-and-accounts.pdf We also publish our Annual Stewardship Report in our website: https://www.mandg.com/~/media/Files/M/MandG-Plc/documents/mandg-investments/2024/mg-investments-annual-stewardship-report-2023.pdf We also publish fund-related information on our website such as Fund Monthly Reviews, annually updated product information in the prospectus, Annual ESG Criteria report for our SICAV funds classified as SFDR Articles 8 & 9.
Do ESG related factors get considered with respect to performance management of investment companies and funds?	M&G believes that ESG factors can have a material impact on long-term investment outcomes. Our goal is to achieve the best possible risk- adjusted returns for our clients, taking into account all factors that influence investment performance. Consequently, ESG issues are integrated into investment decisions wherever they have a meaningful impact on risk or return. We apply this approach to ESG analysis across all of the asset classes and sectors in which we invest. Research and investment teams have access to internal proprietary ESG thematic research, as well as relevant data from other sources. They evaluate the impact and materiality of these ESG themes within the context of the industries and companies that they cover, with assistance from the Stewardship & Sustainability team. Together, these teams deliver actionable investment research that includes ESG issues, insights and recommendations to fund managers for use within the investment decision-making and portfolio construction processes.	Are you signatories of the FRC UK Stewardship Code or equivalent?	Yes We do publish our Annual Stewardship Report prepared under the FRC UK Stewardship Code 2020, which highlights key activities from the previous year across asset classes such as equities, fixed income, property and infrastructure. We also provide an overview of our stewardship approach, which is reviewed annually, and specifically outlines how we adhere to the code in appendix of this report. https://www.mandg.com/~/media/Files/M/MandG-Pic/documents/mandg-investments/2024/mg-investments-annual-stewardship-report-2023.pdf



Questions	Legal and General (LGIM)		
Do you have an ESG policy that is integrated into the investment process?	Yes Our policies are implemented consistently at a firm-wide level. LGIM's purpose is to create a better future through responsible investing. Aligned to this purpose, ESG is a central underpinning to all of LGIM's activities and especially within strategic initiatives. LGIM has developed and publicly disclosed its policies for stewardship activities. Our policies are reviewed annually and updated where necessary to ensure they remain aligned with the various evolving regulations, best practice and client feedback.	Are Senior Management accountable for ESG or Climate Change risks?	We have many people across the business contributing to our ESG insights and research. They sit across various teams with different levels of responsibility relating to ESG but all feed into our responsible investing capabilities. As at the end of June 2022, there are a total of 47 LGIM employees with roles dedicated to ESG, some of which are outlined in more detail below. • There are 20 people in our global Investment Stewardship team, led by Kurt Morriesen. The team is responsible for developing and carrying out LGIM's investment stewardship and responsible investment activities as well as the oversight, implementation and integration of ESG across the firm. • Nick Stansbury, Head of Climate Solutions, leads our energy transition approach and is one of our most prominent spokespeople on this topic. He leads our Climate Solutions team which has a total of four team members. • As Global Head of Responsible Investment Integration, Michael Marks' role spans all functions within LGIM from investment stewardship, distribution and investment teams to operational functions such as data and technology; embedding ESG across the firm in all areas and ensuring that focus is maintained on delivering the capabilities required by all stakeholders. • Amelia Tan has recently joined LGIM as the Head of Responsible Investing Strategy for Investments (January 2022). This role ensures that LGIM stays at the cutting edge of innovation within responsible investing and creates a coordinated approach across asset classes, which is embedded throughout our funds and portfolios. • Caroline Ramscar, Head of Sustainable Solutions, is responsible for engaging with clients on sustainability and the development of responsible investment solutions. This is a role which was created to develop LGIM's sustainable strategy. Two further colleagues are dedicated to supporting clients' journeys to adopt more responsible investing strategies. • LGIM's Real Assets team has a team of seven dedicated ESG experts working across the range of private credi
Do you have a firm ESG rating?	Yes LGIM has an award-winning Investment Stewardship team, with a track record of over 20 years. External validation and oversight keep us on our toes and propels us forward to keep improving. We participate in industry-wide assessments of our engagement and stewardship processes and are proud to have been nominated by industry bodies like the ICGN, ICSA and UN PRI for our: • Engagement activities disclosure • Marketwide involvement in lobbying activities • Strong implementation of ESG and corporate governance matters into our stewardship activities.	Do you have a dedicated team that considers ESG and Climate Change related factors?	Yes There are a total of 37 LGIM employees with roles dedicated to ESG. In addition, we have a further 58 colleagues whose roles have very substantial contribution to our responsible investing capabilities and whose objectives reflect this although their responsibilities are broader than solely ESG.
Please provide your UNPRI survey scores		Do you rely on any third parties to provide ESG and Climate Change related analysis/research?	Bloomberg, CDP, Diligent, HSBC, InfluenceMap, ISS, IVIS, Maplecroft, Refinitiv, RepRisk, Sustainalytics We obtain a large ESG raw data set from a wide range of data and analysis providers which can be used for voting, engagement, research, index/portfolio construction and management. We typically licence raw data from such providers, as opposed to off-the-shelf ESG scores/rating, as we believe our knowledge and expertise of investing and engaging with companies are best



Questions	Legal and General (LGIM)		
			placed to identify material and relevant ESG factors. This quantitative data is supplemented by qualitative research from academic and NGO research as well as sell-side broker reports.
Do you have a Climate Change policy that is integrated into the investment process?	We have developed proprietary ESG tools, used across different asset classes and investment strategies, which incorporate climate change metrics such as carbon emissions, fossil fuel exposure or 'green' revenues. These tools are used to support fund managers, develop new investment solutions, assist the investment stewardship team in its engagements with companies, and help clients understand more about the climate risks and opportunities in their portfolios. LGIM has developed a bespoke climate solutions framework, Destination@Risk, which allows us to quantify the implications of different climate change scenarios across the global economy, key sectors, and individual securities, including a forward-looking assessment of 'temperature alignment'. The outputs of the framework are used to inform our climate-related engagements, to support our investment process, and to develop climate reporting for clients.	Do you create your own ESG or Climate Change related scores	We have developed a rules-based methodology by which to score companies against ESG metrics; this generates the LGIM ESG Score. The LGIM ESG Score aligns with how we engage with, and vote on, the companies in which we invest. To facilitate this process, we publish the scores and explain the metrics on which they are based. In addition, the ESG score is used by our index teams in the creation of ESG aligned index-products. We have identified 30 ESG indicators based on our expertise and experience in corporate reporting, corporate disclosures and transparency. We developed the scores with the aim of improving market standards globally, while monitoring ESG developments across our entire investment universe. The scores help drive our engagement process and are aligned with LGIM's voting policy and principles – we are more likely to vote against companies with poor scores at their annual general meetings (AGMs).
Does your company have a policy on equality and diversity in the workplace?	Yes LGIM is an award winning company, we are committed to delivering the right products and solutions to our clients and we believe the key to our success is our people. Steered by the Executive team, diversity and inclusion is embedded in our culture from the way we recruit, develop and connect with employees, to how we steward responsible investing through ESG. To show our commitment to making diversity and inclusion part of everything we do, the role of Head of Inclusion & Culture was created in 2018. Colette Comerford was appointed to the role with responsibility for driving the evolution and continuous improvement of LGIM's culture, diversity and inclusion objectives, working closely with the executive team, our Senior HR team, LEGIT (Legal & General Inclusion team) and L&G's Group Diversity and Inclusion team.	Do you provide any reporting publicly or to clients with regard to ESG and Climate Change related issues? How often?	Yes Quarterly
Do ESG related factors get considered with respect to performance management of investment companies and funds?	Yes ESG factors are embedded into our evaluation of investment opportunities across many investment strategies to identify unrewarded risk, and protect and enhance the long-term value of all our clients' investments. Our investment framework is designed with several objectives in mind: • Encouraging companies to improve their behaviour, and the quality of their ESG disclosures, we can raise the standards of entire markets, and help generate sustainable, long-term returns for our clients • Assessing a company's ESG risks: we see unmanaged ESG factors, meanwhile, as posing potential risks and opportunities, which can have a material impact on the performance of investments • Identifying the winners of the future, the companies to which investors will allocate everlarger amounts of capital.	Are you signatories of the FRC UK Stewardship Code or equivalent?	Yes LGIM has been a signatory to the UK Stewardship Code every year since its inception and we provide copies of our responses on our website.



Questions	Partners Group AG		
Do you have an ESG policy that is integrated into the investment process?	Partners Group aims to realize potential in private markets and create sustainable returns with a lasting, positive impact for all of its stakeholders, in line with its fiduciary duty and returngenerating goals.	Are Senior Management accountable for ESG or Climate Change risks?	Yes André Frei, former Co-CEO and now Chairman of Sustainability of Partners Group, is responsible for the firm's overall sustainability strategy. In this role he prioritizes and coordinates portfolio and corporate sustainability efforts, in interaction with the Partners Group's Executive Committee.
Do you have a firm ESG rating?	Partners Group is one of the only global private markets firm to be included in the Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI), reflecting the firm's position as a corporate sustainability leader in private markets.	Do you have a dedicated team that considers ESG and Climate Change related factors?	Yes The ESG team is embedded within the wider investment team and has responsibility for the framework that guides investment DD and monitoring. The team also engages with the portoflio businesses
Please provide your UNPRI survey scores	5 stars: Private Equity; 4 stars: Investment & Stewardship Policy, Infrastructure, Debt; 3 stars: Real estate	Do you rely on any third parties to provide ESG and Climate Change related analysis/research?	As a private markets firm, we conduct the most part of our ESG & Sustainability research ourselves. Prior to investing Partners Group engages external service providers including: EY, PwC, KPMG, ERM, Ramboll. During ownership Partners Group uses the services of ESG providers including: Sancroft and Gallup
Do you have a Climate Change policy that is integrated into the investment process?	Yes As signatories to the UNPRI we are rated annually	Do you create your own ESG or Climate Change related scores	No We only create proprietary ESG scoring for debt assets
Does your company have a policy on equality and diversity in the workplace?	Yes Yes. The Diversity and Inclusion Policy ("Policy") sets forth Partners Group's commitment to promoting a diverse and inclusive workplace, articulates guiding principles and ambitions towards diversity and inclusion, and specifies the organization and responsibilities of the groups charged with advancing Partners Group's diversity and inclusion initiatives. In addition to affirming Partners Group's values, the Policy constitutes the basis for effective diversity and inclusion management at Partners Group, and what the company believes best supports its business, clients, and people.	Do you provide any reporting publicly or to clients with regard to ESG and Climate Change related issues? How often?	Yes Depending on the product Partners Group includes ESG related topics in monthly/quarterly reports. Partners Group also produces an annual CSR report with key ESG updates, including the publication of the ESG dashboards for each asset class - within these dashboards eash asset is tracked and measured across key KPIs
Do ESG related factors get considered with respect to performance management of investment companies and funds?	Yes	Are you signatories of the FRC UK Stewardship Code or equivalent?	Yes In 2023 we are proud to have become a signatory to the UK Stewardship Code. We are particularly pleased to be recognised for our strong ESG integration throughout our investment process, and through our active engagement with portfolio companies during our ownership period.

Questions	Schroders Investment Management Ltd			
integrated into the investment process?		ESG or Climate Change risks?	Yes Schroders' Group Chief Executive leads our ESG executive committee and retains overall responsibility for the firm's sustainability strategy. We operate a top-down governance structure with executive and Group Management Committee (GMC) members sitting across ESG and corporate responsibility committees. Our Global	





Questions	Schroders Investment Management Ltd		
	documents/schroders-esg-policy.pdf Our ESG policy applies across our managed assets and outlines our commitments to ESG integration, our approach to active ownership (including voting) and our policy around exclusions. At Schroders, we invest in a wide range of asset classes and therefore our policy contains specific comments on company investments, sovereigns and other asset classes such as convertible bonds, structured credit and insurance linked securities. Our policy also contains a section on our corporate governance principles and what we expect of our investee companies in this regard. Our policy should be viewed alongside our Climate Transition Action Plan (Schroders Climate Transition Action Plan), which lays out our firm-wide climate commitments, and our Engagement Blueprint (schroders-engagement-blueprint.pdf), which explains our principles around active ownership.		Head of Sustainable Investment is also a member of the GMC. Members of the GMC have specific objectives relating to sustainability, with a proportion of their variable remuneration dependent on progress against these objectives. We have clear and effective governance structures in place for decision-making and oversight of our ESG Policy and strategy. The Board of Schroders plc (the Board) has collective responsibility for the management, direction and performance of the Group, and is accountable for our business strategy. The Group has a well-defined governance framework based on delegated authority. The Board has reserved certain matters to itself and has also delegated specific responsibilities to Board committees, notably the Nominations Committee, the Audit and Risk Committee and the Remuneration Committee and to the Group Chief Executive. The Group Chief Executive is responsible for proposing the strategy for the Group and for its execution. For a number of years, our executive Directors have had sustainability-related metrics included within their annual bonus scorecard. The specific targets and measures are chosen each year to align to our key priorities. Below the Board and GMC, there are three main sustainability governance committees: The Corporate Responsibility Committee (CR Committee) provides advice to the Group Chief Executive to assist him in discharging his responsibilities regarding corporate responsibility. The Committee considers, reviews and recommends the overall global corporate responsibility strategy, including key initiatives, new commitments and policies to the Group Chief Executive for approval. The Head of Corporate Sustainability, a member of the Committee, reports annually to the GMC and the Board. The ESG Executive Committee (ESG ExCo) considers the Group's sustainability strategy and advises the Global Head of Investment on the Investment Management sustainability strategy and how we aim to achieve our net zero asset management business model. Any updates to our ESG policy are
Do you have a firm ESG rating?	Yes Schroders plc's overall ESG rating from Sustainalytics is 19, from Refinitiv is 76 and from MSCI is AAA (Source: Sustainalytics, Refinitiv and MSCI, as at February 2023.)	Do you have a dedicated team that considers ESG and Climate Change related factors?	Sustainability is fundamental to our investment principles at Schroders and we have an experienced and well-resourced Sustainable Investment team, who are embedded within our Investment function. As at January 2023, the team comprises over 50+ dedicated ESG professionals with over 400 years' combined investment experience. We are a global team, spread across four regional hubs in London, Paris, Singapore and New York, aiming to ensure that sustainability is embedded through our global investment teams and client functions. The team is led by Andrew Howard, Global Head of Sustainable Investment who is also a member of our Group Management Committee. As team head, he oversees our approach to ESG integration, active ownership, our sustainability research and tools, and our reporting and product strategy. Our Sustainable Investment team sits alongside investment teams rather than operating in a silo, which facilitates regular dialogue with our analysts and portfolio managers. It is organised into three pillars: 1) Sustainable Investment Management, incorporating integration, thematic research and models and data, 2) Active Ownership, encompassing engagement and voting and 3) Product, which entails our client, product and solutions activities. We also have regional sustainability specialists in Europe, Asia and North America, who work closely with our regional investment desks and clients globally. We outline their key responsibilities and areas of focus below. 1. Sustainable investment management Our Integration team works with our investment teams to integrate our proprietary ESG tools and research into their investment processes. They are also responsible for the annual review and integration accreditation of all our investment desks. Our Research team is responsible for conducting research into our key thematic areas and working in collaboration with investors to help them understand how these themes impact their portfolios. Our Models and Data team is responsible for the maintenance and





Questions	Schroders Investment Management Ltd			
Questions .			evolution of our suite of proprietary tools. They are also responsible for ESG data, ensuring we harness sustainability data effectively from both conventional and unconventional sources. 2. Active ownership Our Engagement team partners with investors to have dialogue with the companies in which we invest, seeking to understand how prepared they are for a changing world and pushing them towards more sustainable practices. The team track the progress of these engagements and hold companies to account. Our Corporate Governance team is responsible for voting in line with our Voting Policy and Principles. 3. Product Our Product team is responsible for all the externally-facing aspects of our sustainability strategy. This includes our suite of products and solutions, client engagement and our engagement with regulators and industry bodies. We also have regional specialists who help our clients around the globe to achieve their sustainability objectives.	
Please provide your UNPRI survey scores	We became a signatory to the UNPRI on 29 October 2007, however we have been considering ESG and sustainable investment since 2000. After a delay in the UNPRI reporting cycle, we have now received our 2021 scores, reflecting our activity during 2020. The 2021 reporting cycle introduced a new reporting and assessment framework. We have received scores of 4 and 5 stars across all of the modules in the new reporting structure; which ranges from 1-5 stars (5 being the top score). A summary of our scores for the 2021 reporting cycle are available below. Our public transparency report is also available here: https://ctp.unpri.org/dataportalv2/transparency. Module Investment & Stewardship Policy: Rating 4 stars Direct – Listed Equity (Active quantitative – incorporation): Rating 5 stars Direct – Listed Equity (Investment trusts – incorporation): Rating 5 stars Direct – Listed Equity (Active quantitative – voting): Rating 5 stars Direct – Listed Equity (Active fundamental – voting): Rating 5 stars Direct – Listed Equity (Active fundamental – voting): Rating 5 stars Direct – Listed Equity (Investment trusts – voting): Rating 5 stars Direct – Fixed Income – Securitised: Rating 5 stars Direct – Fixed Income – Securitised: Rating 5 stars Direct – Fixed Income – Securitised: Rating 5 stars Direct – Fixed Income – Securitised: Rating 5 stars Direct – Fixed Income – Securitised: Rating 5 stars Direct – Real Estate: Rating 4 stars Indirect – Listed Equity – Active: Rating 4 stars Indirect – Fixed Income – Active: Rating 4 stars Indirect – Private Equity: Rating 5 stars	Do you rely on any third parties to provide ESG and Climate Change related analysis/research?	Yes We use information from several external ESG research firms, but only ever as one input into our own company assessments to be questioned, examined and built on. Third party research may be used by the Sustainable Investment team, however our analysts form a proprietary view on each of the companies we analyse. We currently subscribe to the following external ESG research providers: MSCI ESG research, Bloomberg, EIRIS, Refinitiv and Sustainalytics. In addition, we subscribe to Institutional Shareholder Services and the Investment Association's Institutional Voting Information Service for our proxy voting research. Aiming to move away from the use of third party ESG scores, we developed CONTEXT. The tool goes beyond a simple tick box approach – it is interactive and customisable, enabling analysts to select the most material ESG factors for each sector, weight their importance and apply relevant metrics. Analysts are then able to compare companies based on the metrics selected, their own company assessment scores or adjusted rankings (by size, sector or region). The unique features of the tool give analysts the flexibility to make company specific adjustments to reflect their specialist knowledge.	
Do you have a Climate Change policy that is integrated into the investment process?	Yes We believe it is important to hold ourself to the same high standards we set for our investee companies and our Climate Transition Action Plan (CTAP) outlines how we will manage our business toward net zero emissions across both our own operations and value chain. The latter makes up the majority of our emissions and relates primarily to the investments we make on behalf of our clients. We will deliver that transition to protect and create value for our clients.	Do you create your own ESG or Climate Change related scores	At Schroders we do not take a "one size fits all" approach to ESG integration. We understand that different asset classes require different methods to integrate ESG into their investment processes effectively. We have therefore developed a suite of research-based and quantitative ESG investment tools which provide insights to empower our investors to make decisions. We have outlined our key tools below: SustainEx™ SustainEx™ provides our investment teams with an estimate of positive and negative "externalities" that companies and countries may create for society. It does this by using metrics chosen by Schroders and quantifying positive (for example, paying 'fair wages') and negative (for example, the level of carbon an issuer emits) metrics to produce an aggregate measure, expressed as a notional percentage of sales (of a company) or GDP (of a country). If a company was handed a bill or a credit note at the end of the year, for the net costs or benefits they created for society, SustainEx™ estimates what that would be. Like companies, we believe that sovereigns may also be assessed in terms of the externalities they may create for society. SustainEx™ estimates global costs and benefits arising from government activities − such as spending on education and infrastructure, predatory taxation, treatment of refugees and biodiversity loss − and how countries may contribute positively or negatively to these. In respect of sovereigns, SustainEx™ uses 29 metrics and covers 150 countries (as at June	



Questions	Schroders Investment Management Ltd			
			2022). The net score of each such country — or its "social value" — is normalised as a percentage of GDP so we can compare country scores in our investment analysis. CONTEXT" Our flagship ESG research tool, provides a systematic framework for analysing a company's relationship with its stakeholders and the sustainability of its business model. Comprising over 260 metrics across over 13,000 companies, it is designed to support our investors' understanding of the sustainability of companies' business models and profitability, and provides structured, logical and wide-ranging data to support our analysts' views. This consistent structure makes information sharing easier and allows us to identify market wide trends and insights. The tool goes beyond a simple tick box approach— it is interactive and customisable, enabling analysts to select the most material ESG factors for each sector, weight their importance and apply relevant metrics. Analysts are then able to compare companies based on the metrics selected, their own company assessment scores or adjusted rankings (size, sector or region), with the flexibility to make company specific adjustments to reflect their detailed knowledge. The tool is fully integrated within Schroders' global research platform, which is readily accessible across investment desks and geographies. We have also developed a number of proprietary quantitative metrics that can be used to demonstrate and measure a portfolio's sustainability characteristics. Country CONTEXT™ We recognise that the importance of ESG risks to nations is likely to increase as social and environmental challenges, such as social unrest or climate change – intensify and the world becomes increasingly connected. While many investors consider country risk when allocating capital, there have been few attempts to date that consider the long-term sustainability of contriers' growth and whether risks or opportunities are reflected in asset valuations. Country CONTEXT™ aims to provide a structured lens through which to analy	
Does your company have a policy on equality and diversity in the workplace?	Yes Schroders is committed to providing equal opportunities in employment and to preventing discrimination. Our Equal	Do you provide any reporting publicly or to clients with regard to ESG and Climate Change related issues? How often?	Yes At Schroders, we believe that clear and ongoing communication to clients and other stakeholders on our ESG and stewardship activities is important. Over the	





Questions	Schroders Investment Management Ltd			
	Opportunities, Bullying, Harassment, Respect and Dignity at Work policy is intended to assist Schroders to put this commitment into practice. Compliance with this policy will ensure that employees do not commit unlawful acts of discrimination and treat one another with dignity and respect. The policy applies to all employees regardless of their seniority together with applicants, consultants and contractors working for us and other individuals such as external suppliers, not directly employed by us but with whom we have dealings during the course of our business. More information is available here: https://www.schroders.com/en/about-us/people-and-culture/inclusion/		past few years, we have been working to increase the transparency and usefulness of our reporting. Through the publication of our annual and quarterly Sustainable Investment reports, we demonstrate our engagement activity and progress. We share our research and thought leadership, and provide insights into innovations aimed at maintaining our status as a market leader. We also publicly disclose our global voting activity. More in depth reporting on engagement and voting is supplied to clients. We have a dedicated Sustainability webpage (https://www.schroders.com/en/sustainability/active-ownership/) which contains a number of reporting including the following: — Quarterly Sustainable Investment Report (https://www.schroders.com/en/sustainability/active-ownership/sustainability-analysis-in-practice/) — Current ESG related topics and thematic research, engagements details, voting details. — Annual Sustainable Investment Report (https://www.schroders.com/en/sustainability/active-ownership/sustainability-analysis-in-practice/) — Our approach to ESG Integration, policy details, current ESG related topics and thematic research, engagements details, voting and governance details, general statistics and AUMs, details of industry involvement . — Sustainability insights (https://www.schroders.com/en/insights/topic-landing-page/?categoryId=499) on a range of environmental, social and governance factors. — Historical voting reports (https://www.schroders.com/en/sustainability/active-ownership/voting/). — Engagement Blueprint (https://www.schroders.com/en/sysglobalassets/about-us/schroders-engagement-blueprint-2022-1.pdf). — Climate Transition Action Plan (https://www.schroders.com/en/sysglobalassets/digital/global/corporate-responsibility/climate-transition-action-plan.pdf).	
Do ESG related factors get considered with respect to performance management of investment companies and funds?	Schroders has integrated the assessment of sustainability factors and risk across our managed assets*. This means that when we assess the performance of our investment teams we do so having regard to investment performance that is in part derived from ESG-integrated investment processes. ESG integration, coupled with investment performance measured over at least a five-year period, means that the consideration and management of sustainability factors and risks are a component of our remuneration decisions for our investment teams. Schroders has a proud history of investing sustainably. Our long-term approach leads us to the belief that generating returns for our clients will be intrinsically linked to our ability to identify, measure and engage on the impacts of social and environmental change attributable to the assets in which we invest. In our experience successful investment is intrinsically linked to identifying, understanding and incorporating the effects of ESG trends in our idea generation, analysis, portfolio construction and ownership. While ESG issues are sometimes difficult to quantify, these factors can have a material impact on an investment's performance both in the short and long term, as well as the inherent risk of investing in that asset. Therefore we firmly believe analysing a company's exposure to, and management of, ESG factors, in addition to traditional financial analysis, will enhance our understanding of an investment's fair value and ability to deliver sustainable returns. Active Ownership is an important part of our sustainability strategy. Through active ownership, we aim to drive change that will protect and enhance the value of our investments and we are committed to leveraging the weight of our firm to change how a company is operating for the better. We believe this is an important aspect of our role as stewards of our clients' capital and how we help clients meet their long-term financial goals in line with our fiduciary responsibilities. *For certain businesses acquired durin	Are you signatories of the FRC UK Stewardship Code or equivalent?	Schroders fully supports the UK Stewardship Code and complies with all its principles. Although the Code is focused on the UK, it is a globally recognised standard and signatories must report on their active ownership activities across their entire asset base. Hence, in our report we outline how we apply the Stewardship Code principles globally, taking into account local practice and law. We are mindful of similar stewardship codes in other jurisdictions in which we invest. We keep these under review and look to our statement of compliance with the UK Stewardship Code to be our response to them. Our 2021 Stewardship Code report explaining how we apply the Code's principles in practice can be found at https://www.frc.org.uk/getattachment/c40289db-0361-4a21-8061-f5eb40e90611/NEW-Schroders-Stewardship-Code-Report-rev-2021.pdf	

Questions	Schroders Investment Management Ltd	
	strategies for which ESG integration is not practicable or now possible, for example passive index tracking or legacy businesses or investments in the process of or soon to be liquidated, and certain joint venture businesses are excluded.	



Activity	Insight LDI Funds		
Do you undertake Engagements for this fund?	Yes,	How many engagements have you had with companies in the past 12 months?	43
How many engagements were made regarding environmental topics?	21	How many engagements were made regarding governance topics?	5
How many engagements were made regarding social topics?	12	How many engagements were made regarding other issues?	11
Which form of engagement is most representative of the approach taken for this fund over the last 12 months: • Sending standardised letters to companies Sending bespoke letters to companies • Standard period engagement with companies • Active private engagement on specific issues Active public engagement on specific issues		Please discuss some of the key engagements and outcomes from the last 12 months.	NatWest Group plc - Q1 2024 Environment - Climate change Social - Human and labour rights Rationale - The issuer is a major retail and commercial bank with operations in the UK. Their services include current accounts, credit cards, loans, overdrafts, mortgages, home and life insurance and investing for retail customers. They registered an increase in climate and sustainable funding and financing year-on-year from £24.5bn (2022) to £29.3bn (2023). This engagement is aligned to SDG10 reduced inequalities and SDG13 climate action. What you have done - The issuer maintains a leading position in financing environmental impact but it has had a number of governance controversies, including the recent departure of its CEO and Chairman due to the de-banking scandal. The issuer's continued investment to maintain its leadership position in climate strategy is contingent on the new CEO's position on ESG, which remains unclear. Its focus on ESG was in part accelerated by its former CEO and saw strong targets being set, reporting of financed emissions for its material sectors and strong fossil fuel financing policies being introduced in its transition into a leaders in low carbon opportunities. It also provided an estimate of its facilitated emissions for the first time. The issuer retains a dark green rating for its green bonds under our proprietary impact bond assessment framework due to strong ESG performance with well-defined use-of-proceeds categories that are likely to have a positive impact. There are plans to allocated 50% of the net proceeds to refinancing existing mortgages with the remaining 50% allocated to financing new mortgage products over the next 12 months. Human rights is an increasing area of focus for the issuer as evidenced by its publishing of its salient human rights issues as part of its UN Guiding Principles Reporting responsibilities. The issuer expects to improve on its score under the next Banktrack global human rights assessment in 2024 from their current 4.5/14 ("Follower" rating). Of 50



Activity	Insight LDI Funds		
			Acceptance Criteria (RAC) which applies requirements around human rights due diligence to additional sectors with heighted human rights risk not already covered by an ESE RAC. This includes a sustainability questionnaire, escalation process, considers supply chain, European regulation CSDDD and identification of best practice examples. The issuer remains committed to SBTi and will re-submit their target and strategy in 2025. They remain engaged with SBTi despite uncertainty with sector guidance that is causing challenges for explaining their plans for achieving decarbonisation targets by 2030. Work continues on carbon pathway models. They are cognisant of Scope 3 finance emissions that are likely to increase for activities enabling the net zero transition. This is driving their purchase of carbon offsets and credits and training of frontline bankers and relationship managers via a partnership with Edinburgh University and sectoral deep dives. They also engage with politicians, civil service and other banks on the transition, offer green mortgages but recognise the limitations of current metrics (e.g., EPCs). They have also appointed their first Head of Nature but is not ready to report against TNFD. Their Dutch subsidiary is leading the research on the LEAP approach and ENCORE tool.
Do you engage in voting for this fund?	No, LDI Fund	Do you conduct your own votes?	Not applicable for this fund
Do you monitor the carbon emission levels or similar of the underlying companies in the fund?	Yes Rationale: As a response to this, Insight became a signatory of the Net Zero Asset Managers initiative in April 2021, where we have committed to reach net zero emissions by 2050 at the latest. To support our journey towards net zero, we will either actively engage with our highest emitters, or ensure they are on a net zero pathway. Therefore, we are developing bespoke strategies to engage with the highest emitters within our portfolio on climaterelated issues, such as coal exposure and carbon intensity performance. We use our Net Zero Model to identify companies to engage with, as we look to ensure that at least 50% of financed emissions are either net zero, aligned to a net-zero pathway, aligning to a net-zero pathway or subject of engagement with a view to moving into alignment by net zero, by 2023. This target increases to cover 70% by of financed emissions by 2025. We identify objectives for engagement using tools such as the Net Zero Benchmark from Climate Action 100. Success will be measured on improvement across the criteria of our Net Zero model and will be assessed on a case-by-case basis.	What was the actual turnover rate over the last 12 months?	



Activity	M&G Total Return Credit Investment Fund		
Do you undertake Engagements for this fund?	Yes,	How many engagements have you had with companies in the past 12 months?	12
How many engagements were made regarding environmental topics?	8	How many engagements were made regarding governance topics?	2
How many engagements were made regarding social topics?	2	How many engagements were made regarding other issues?	0
Which form of engagement is most representative of the approach taken for this fund over the last 12 months: • Sending standardised letters to companies Sending bespoke letters to companies • Standard period engagement with companies • Active private engagement on specific issues Active public engagement on specific issues	Sending bespoke letters to companies, Active private engagement on specific issues	Please discuss some of the key engagements and outcomes from the last 12 months.	Company name 1: AIB GROUP PLC Topic: Environment - Net Zero/Decarbonisation (including Net Zero Commitments and Climate Transition Plans) Objective: We met with Irish Bank AIB to discuss the process it went through to have its near-term decarbonisation targets SBTi approved (as one of the few banks to have done so). The idea was to apply the lessons learned by AIB to other financial holdings that are finding validation difficult. As part of this meeting, we encouraged the bank to also have its net zero targets approved by SBTi, as well as regularly reporting on the outcomes of its scope 3 engagement programme, particularly as relates to its loan book. Action taken: We met with the bank's chief strategy and sustainability officer, as well as a member of the investor relations team. Result: AIB provided a good overview of the steps it had taken, the most important of which was initially getting internal stakeholders on board to allow for a smooth process, and working closely with SBTi on areas of uncertainty or concern. The bank confirmed that its intention was to get its net zero target approved, and was positive on the idea of reporting on its scope 3 engagement. This, it said, could eventually look at other ways of encouraging loan customers to decarbonise - in terms of incentives - while regulation was also helping to drive this, particularly CSRD.
			Company name 2: DXC TECHNOLOGY CO Topic: Social - Diversity & Inclusion Objective: To encourage DXC to increase board level gender diversity to 33% in line with our voting policy Action taken: M&G sent an letter to the company to make our expectations known Result: We await to hear back from the company and will update in due course Company name 3: BRAMBLES FINANCE PLC



Activity	M&G Total Return Credit Investment Fund		
			Topic: Governance - Executive Remuneration
			Objective: To ask Australian sustainable logistics business Brambles to more explicitly link remuneration KPIs to sustainability targets, reflecting the company's role as a promoter of, and practitioner in, the circular economy. We also encouraged the company to commit to net zero through SBTi it has a near term SBTi approved 1.5° target, but has not yet committed to a net zero target through the initiative. In addition, we asked Brambles to consider reporting on specific milestones on the path to achieving the goals of its decarbonisation strategy, with specific ties to remuneration.
			Action taken: M&G met with members of the company's investor relations team.
			Result: Brambles was very receptive to our requests, and explained that the relatively long list of reported personal objectives, linked to 30% of short term incentives, were tailored to individual roles - it would look to provide a clearer picture on a role-by-role basis. It also suggested a follow-on call with the head of sustainability to discuss more granular milestones connected to its decarbonisation strategy, which we will follow up on. Once we have the breakdown of objectives by role, depending on that outcome, we will write to the chair of the remuneration committee to outline our expectations. We are also sending the company examples of remuneration best practice to help guide the outcome.
Do you engage in voting for this fund?	No, Not applicable as fixed income product	Do you conduct your own votes?	Voting is not applicable in this fund.
Do you monitor the carbon emission levels or similar of the underlying companies in the fund?	Yes, we monitor carbon emissions level in this fund. The following climate metrics have been included in the TCFD report where data is available.	What was the actual turnover rate over the last 12 months?	
	Metric Description:		
	* Scope 1 Direct emissions associated with the business operations e.g. a utility company's emissions from combusting fuel.		
	* Scope 2 Indirect emissions associated with the business' heating/power requirements e.g., a software company's emissions from buying electricity.		
	* Scope 3 Emissions from: purchased goods and services; business travel; employee commuting; waste disposal; use of sold products; transportation and distribution (up and downstream); investments; leased assets; and franchises.		
	* Carbon Footprint Refers to financed carbon emissions divided by the fund's market value, expressed in tonnes CO2e/£m invested. The larger the number, the more it is contributing to the effects of climate change. CF can be used to compare across different funds.		
	* Weighted Average Carbon Intensity Is the fund's exposure to carbon-intensive issuers, expressed in tCO2e/£m sales. The larger the number, the more carbon intensive the investments currently are. Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (WACI) Investments Is the fund's exposure to		



Activity	M&G Total Return Credit Investment Fund	
	carbon-intensive issuers, expressed in tCO2e/Em sales. The larger the number, the more carbon intensive the investments currently are. WACI allows comparison across different funds.	
	* Exposure to high impact sectors: High impact sectors, such as utilities, construction, real estate, and transportation, are industrial sectors that have a significant influence on global carbon emissions.	
	* Climate Adjusted Value This metric is the change in the value of the fund's assets (what it holds) as a result of the climate scenario. A negative number denotes that under the scenario, there will be a devaluation for the fund's investments or underlying assets. Scenario model outputs are expressed as a range of outcomes, reflecting the inherent uncertainty of the underlying assumptions. We have provided the average model output of that range of results:	
	i) Orderly Transition Scenario assumes climate policies are 'orderly', ie, are introduced early and become gradually more stringent, reaching global net zero greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions around 2050 and likely limiting global warming to below 2°C on pre-industrial averages.	
	ii) Disorderly Transition Scenario assumes climate policies are 'disorderly', ie, are delayed or divergent, requiring sharper emissions reductions achieved at a higher cost and with increased physical risks in order to limit temperature rise to below 2°C on pre-industrial averages.	
	iii) Hot House World Scenario Scenario assumes only currently implemented climate policies are preserved, current commitments are not met and emissions continue to rise, with high physical risks and severe social and economic disruption and failure to limit temperature rise.	
	* Implied Temperature Rise: This metric allows a user to quickly gauge if a portfolio and issuer's greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions' trajectory is aligned with the Paris Agreement through sub-industry and regional benchmark comparisons.	
	* Paris Agreement target: The Paris Agreement resulted from the Paris Climate Conference (COP 21) in December 2015 and brought together all COP member nations in an agreement to undertake ambitious efforts to tackle climate change and limit the rise of global temperatures (from preindustrial levels) to below 2°C, and ideally below 1.5°C.	



Activity	L&G Life KY World Equity Index Fund GBP Currency Hedged			
Do you undertake Engagements for this fund?	Yes,	How many engagements have you had with companies in the past 12 months?	734	
How many engagements were made regarding environmental topics?	453	How many engagements were made regarding governance topics?	243	
How many engagements were made regarding social topics?	165	How many engagements were made regarding other issues?		
Which form of engagement is most representative of the approach taken for this fund over the last 12 months: Sending standardised letters to companies Sending bespoke letters to companies Standard period engagement with companies Active private engagement on specific issues Active public engagement on specific issues		Please discuss some of the key engagements and outcomes from the last 12 months.		
Do you engage in voting for this fund?	Yes,	Do you conduct your own votes?	LGIM's Investment Stewardship team uses ISS's 'ProxyExchange' electronic voting platform to electronically vote clients' shares. All voting decisions are made by LGIM and we do not outsource any part of the strategic decisions. To ensure our proxy provider votes in	
Do you use a third party to vote on your behalf? If Yes, please provide the details of your provider and any comments	LGIM's Investment Stewardship team uses ISS's 'ProxyExchange' electronic voting platform to electronically vote clients' shares. All voting decisions are made by LGIM and we do not outsource any part of the strategic decisions. To ensure our proxy provider votes in accordance with our position on ESG, we have put in place a custom voting policy with specific voting instructions.	How many votes were proposed across the underlying companies in the fund?	37810	
How many times did you vote in favour of management?	29820	How many times did you vote against management?	7907	
How many votes did you abstain from?	49			



Activity	L&G Life KY World Equity Index Fund GBP Currency He	edged	
Do you have a vote you consider the most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Microsoft Corporation Resolution 1.06 - Elect Director Satya Nadella Thematic - Board Leadership: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as it is in application of an escalation of our vote policy on the topic of the combination of the board chair and CEO. 4.207926 Against Joint Chair/CEO: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects companies to separate the roles of Chair and CEO due to risk management and oversight concerns. N/A LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics	Do you have a vote you consider the second most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'second most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Amazon.com, Inc. Resolution 13 – Report on Median and Adjusted Gender/Racial Pay Gaps Yes 1.507956 For (Against Management Recommendation) A vote in favour is applied as LGIM expects companies to disclose meaningful information on its gender pay gap and the initiatives it is applying to close any stated gap. This is an important disclosure so that investors can assess the progress of the company's diversity and inclusion initiatives. Board diversity is an engagement and voting issue, as we believe cognitive diversity in business – the bringing together of people of different ages, experiences, genders, ethnicities, sexual orientations, and social and economic backgrounds – is a crucial step towards building a better company, economy and society. 29% (Fail) LGIM pre-declared its vote intention for this meeting on the LGIM Blog. As part of this process, a communication was set to the company ahead of the meeting.
Do you have a vote you consider the third most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'third most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, NVIDIA Corporation Resolution 1i - Elect Director Stephen C. Neal Thematic - Diversity: LGIM views gender diversity as a financially material issue for our clients, with implications for the assets we manage on their behalf. 1.484304 Against (against management recommendation) Diversity: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects a company to have at least one-third women on the board. Average board tenure: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects a board to be regularly refreshed in order to maintain an appropriate mix of independence, relevant skills, experience, tenure, and background. 30.7% (Fail) LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website the day after the company meeting, with a rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.	Do you have a vote you consider the fourth most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'fourth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Alphabet Inc. Resolution 18 - Approve Recapitalization Plan for all Stock to Have One-vote per Share High Profile meeting: This shareholder resolution is considered significant due to the relatively high level of support received. 1.224883 For (against management recommendation) Shareholder Resolution - Shareholder rights: A vote in favour is applied as LGIM expects companies to apply a one-share-one-vote standard. 30.7% (Fail) LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website the day after the company meeting, with a rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.
Do you have a vote you consider the fifth most significant for this fund?:	Yes, Meta Platforms, Inc. Resolution 1.9 - Elect Director Mark Zuckerberg Thematic - Board Leadership: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as it is in application of an escalation of our vote policy on the topic of the combination of the board chair and CEO (escalation of engagement by vote). Thematic - Investor Rights: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as it is in application of an esclation of our vote policy on the topic of one-share one-vote and our support for equality of voting rights.	Do you have a vote you consider the sixth most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'sixth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote	Yes, Exxon Mobil Corporation Resolution 12: Shareholder resolution calling for a Report on Asset Retirement Obligations Under IEA Net Zero Emissions Scenario Pre-declaration and Engagement: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as LGIM co-filed this shareholder resolution as an escalation of our enagement activity, targeting some of the word's largest companies on their strategic management of climate change.



Activity	L&G Life KY World Equity Index Fund GBP Currency He	edged	
Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	0.972607 Withhold (against management recommendation) Joint Chair/CEO: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects companies to separate the roles of Chair and CEO due to risk management and oversight concerns. Shareholder rights: A vote against is applied because LGIM supports the equitable structure of one-share- one-vote. We expect companies to move to a one- share-one-vote structure or provide shareholders a regular vote on the continuation of an unequal capital structure. WITHHOLD votes are further warranted for Mark Zuckerberg, the owner of the supervoting shares. 0.866 LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website the day after the company meeting, with a rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.	Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	For (Against Management Recommendation) Together with CBIS, LGIMA has co-filed a shareholder resolution asking for more transparency on the retirement costs of Exxon's asset base. In our view, this is a highly relevant and financially material matter, and by filing this proposal we are seeking greater clarity into the potential costs Exxon may incur in the event of an accelerated energy transition. 16% (Fail) LGIM co-filed this shareholder resolution and predeclared its vote intention for this meeting on the LGIM Blog. As part of this process, there was regular communication with the company ahead of the meeting.
Do you have a vote you consider the seventh most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'seventh most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Berkshire Hathaway Inc. Resolution 8 - Require Independent Board Chair Thematic - Board Leadership: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as it is in application of an escalation of our vote policy on the topic of the combination of the board chair and CEO (escalation of engagement by vote). 0.685222 For (against management recommendation) Shareholder Resolution - Joint Chair/CEO: A vote in favour is applied as LGIM expects companies to establish the role of independent Board Chair. 10.9% (Fail) LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website the day after the company meeting, with a rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.	Do you have a vote you consider the eighth most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'eighth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Tesla, Inc. Resolution 1.2 - Elect Director Robyn Denholm Thematic - Diversity: LGIM views gender diversity as a financially material issue for our clients, with implications for the assets we manage on their behalf. 0.682953 Against (against management recommendation) Classified Board: A vote against is applied as LGIM supports a declassified board as directors should stand for re-election on an annual basis. Diversity: A vote against is applied as LGIM expects a company to have at least one-third women on the board. Diversity: A vote against is applied due to the lack of gender diversity at executive officer level. LGIM expects executives officers to include at least 1 female. A vote AGAINST audit committee chair Robyn Denholm is warranted given concerns on the risk oversight function of the board, in light of the pledging of a significant amount of the company's stock by certain directors and executives. 40.7% (Fail) LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website the day after the company meeting, with a rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.
Do you have a vote you consider the nineth most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'nineth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote	Yes, Johnson & Johnson Resolution 1j - Elect Director Anne M. Mulcahy Thematic - Board Leadership: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as it is in application of an escalation of our vote policy on the topic of the combination of the board chair and CEO (escalation of engagement by vote). 0.671084 Against (against management recommendation) Joint Chair/CEO: A vote against is applied as LGIM	Do you have a vote you consider the tenth most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'tenth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote	Yes, JPMorgan Chase & Co. Resolution 9 - Report on Climate Transition Plan Describing Efforts to Align Financing Activities with GHG Targets Pre-declaration and Thematic - Climate: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as we pre-declared our intention to support. We continue to consider that decarbonisation of the banking sector and its clients is key to ensuring that the goals of the Paris Agreement are met.



Activity	L&G Life KY World Equity Index Fund GBP Currency Hedged		
Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	expects companies not to recombine the roles of Board Chair and CEO without prior shareholder approval. 98.1% (Pass) LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website the day after the company meeting, with a rationale for all votes against management. It is our policy not to engage with our investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as our engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics.	Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	0.650485 For (Against Management Recommendation) We generally support resolutions that seek additional disclosures on how they aim to manage their financing activities in line with their published targets. We believe detailed information on how a company intends to achieve the 2030 targets they have set and published to the market (the 'how' rather than the 'what', including activities and timelines) can further focus the board's attention on the steps and timeframe involved and provides assurance to stakeholders. The onus remains on the board to determine the activities and policies required to fulfil their own ambitions, rather than investors imposing restrictions on the company. 34.8% (Fail) LGIM pre-declared its vote intention for this meeting on the LGIM Blog. As part of this process, a communication was set to the company ahead of the meeting.
Do you monitor the carbon emission levels or similar of the underlying companies in the fund?	Yes - the fund produces approximately 163.8 Weighted Average Carbon Emissions Scope 1 + Scope 2 (Tonnes CO2e per 1 million USD Invested) as of 31 December 2023	What was the actual turnover rate over the last 12 months?	

Activity	Partners Group Generations Fund		
Do you undertake Engagements for this fund?	Yes, As a private markets firm, we hold controlling stakes in our investment companies. Conseuqentially, our engagement is of an ongoing nature and we do not track individual exchanges.	How many engagements have you had with companies in the past 12 months?	
How many engagements were made regarding environmental topics?		How many engagements were made regarding governance topics?	
How many engagements were made regarding social topics?		How many engagements were made regarding other issues?	
Which form of engagement is most representative of the approach taken for this fund over the last 12 months: Sending standardised letters to companies Sending bespoke letters to companies Standard period engagement with companies Active private engagement on specific issues Active public engagement on specific issues	Sending standardised letters to companies,Sending bespoke letters to companies,Standard period engagement with companies	Please discuss some of the key engagements and outcomes from the last 12 months.	As a private markets investor we engage on an ongoing basis with our direct investments. therefore, we do not have engagement statistics of this granularity.
Do you engage in voting for this fund?	Yes, for calendar year to 31 December 2023	Do you conduct your own votes?	As a private markets firm, we have very limited exposure to voting activity. Partners Group uses a proxy advisor for its limited listed investments, working with one of the major proxy advising companies in the world - Glass Lewis. Glass Lewis does in-depth research on each ballot item and recommends voting in line with the list of guidelines best practice and considering local market standards. Glass Lewis also votes primarily according to Partners Group's Proxy Voting Policy.



Activity	Partners Group Generations Fund		
Do you use a third party to vote on your behalf? If Yes, please provide the details of your provider and any comments	As a private markets firm, we have very limited exposure to voting activity. Partners Group uses a proxy advisor for its limited listed investments, working with one of the major proxy advising companies in the world - Glass Lewis. Glass Lewis does in-depth research on each ballot item and recommends voting in line with the list of guidelines best practice and considering local market standards. Glass Lewis also votes primarily according to Partners Group's Proxy Voting Policy. Glass Lewis does in-depth research on each ballot item and recommends voting in line with the list of guidelines best practice and considering local market standards. Glass Lewis also votes primarily according to Partners Group's Proxy Voting Policy.	How many votes were proposed across the underlying companies in the fund?	685
How many times did you vote in favour of management?	679	How many times did you vote against management?	34
How many votes did you abstain from?	29		
Do you have a vote you consider the most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'most significant?? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Breitling As we control the Board, please see below the ESG efforts of the portfolio company. Size of holding in fund Board representation Breitling is a direct private equity investment in our portfolio of companies, where we invest directly to obtain control and influence over their operations. Not applicable to private markets space. Not applicable to private markets space.	Do you have a vote you consider the second most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'second most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Gren As we control the Board, please see below the ESG efforts of the portfolio company. Yes Board representation Gren is a direct private infrastructure investment in our portfolio of companies, where we invest directly to obtain control and influence over their operations. Not applicable to private markets space. Not applicable to private markets space.
Do you have a vote you consider the third most significant for this fund?:	Yes, Wedgewood Pharmacy As we control the Board, please see below the ESG efforts of the portfolio company. Size of holding in fund Board representation	Do you have a vote you consider the fourth most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'fourth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's	Yes, Emeria As we control the Board, please see below the ESG efforts of the portfolio company. Size of holding in fund Board representation



Activity	Partners Group Generations Fund		
holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Wedgewood Pharmacy is a direct private equity investment in our portfolio of companies, where we invest directly to obtain control and influence over their operations. Not applicable to private markets space. Not applicable to private markets space.	holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Emeria is a direct private equity investment in our portfolio of companies, where we invest directly to obtain control and influence over their operations. Not applicable to private markets space. Not applicable to private markets space.
Do you have a vote you consider the fifth most significant for this fund?:	Yes, Techem Metering GmbH As we control the Board, please see below the ESG efforts of the portfolio company. Size of holding in fund Board representation Techem is a direct private equity investment in our portfolio of companies, where we invest directly to obtain control and influence over their operations. Not applicable to private markets space.	Do you have a vote you consider the sixth most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'sixth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, KinderCare Education As we control the Board, please see below the ESG efforts of the portfolio company. Size of holding in fund Board representation KinderCare is a direct private equity investment in our portfolio of companies, where we invest directly to obtain control and influence over their operations. Not applicable to private markets space.
Do you have a vote you consider the seventh most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'seventh most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, CWP Renewables As we control the Board, please see below the ESG efforts of the portfolio company. Size of holding in fund Board representation CWP Renewables is a direct private infrastructure investment in our portfolio of companies, where we invest directly to obtain control and influence over their operations. Not applicable to private markets space. Not applicable to private markets space.	Do you have a vote you consider the eighth most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'eighth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Ammega As we control the Board, please see below the ESG efforts of the portfolio company. Size of holding in fund Board representation Ammega is a direct private equity investment in our portfolio of companies, where we invest directly to obtain control and influence over their operations. Not applicable to private markets space. Not applicable to private markets space.
Do you have a vote you consider the nineth most significant for this fund?:	Yes, Telepass As we control the Board, please see below the ESG efforts of the portfolio company. Size of holding in fund Board representation Telepass is a direct private infrastructure investment in our portfolio of companies, where we invest directly to obtain control and influence over their operations. Not applicable to private markets space. Voc. for the direct investments in the strategy we collect.	Do you have a vote you consider the tenth most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'tenth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Techem As we control the Board, please see below the ESG efforts of the portfolio company. Size of holding in fund Board representation Techem is a direct private equity investment in our portfolio of companies, where we invest directly to obtain control and influence over their operations. Not applicable to private markets space.
Do you monitor the carbon emission levels or similar of the underlying companies in the fund?	Yes, for the direct investments in the strategy we collect carbon data on a best effort basis.	What was the actual turnover rate over the last 12 months?	9.1



Activity	Schroder Life Intermediated Diversified Growth Fund		
Do you undertake Engagements for this fund?	Yes, annual to 31/12/2023	How many engagements have you had with companies in the past 12 months?	1402
How many engagements were made regarding environmental topics?		How many engagements were made regarding governance topics?	
How many engagements were made regarding social topics?		How many engagements were made regarding other issues?	37
Which form of engagement is most representative of the approach taken for this fund over the last 12 months: • Sending standardised letters to companies Sending bespoke letters to companies • Standard period engagement with companies • Active private engagement on specific issues Active public engagement on specific issues	N/A	Please discuss some of the key engagements and outcomes from the last 12 months.	Ecora Resources Topic: Environment – Climate Change Rationale: We have selected climate change as one of our engagement blueprint themes as we believe that companies urgently need to transform their business models to collectively avoid the most catastrophic effects of climate change on people and the planet, and adapt to future temperature rises. Furthermore, as a long-term active investor, we seek constructive and collaborative engagement that is dedicated to supporting companies' climate transition to protect and improve shareholder value. One key areas of focus for our climate engagements is climate alignment in particular decarbonising and minimising. Our priority asks are for holdings to set long-, medium- and short-term science- based argets, covering Scope 1, 2 and relevant Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions. We engaged with Ecora Resources, a UK-listed small cap royalty and streaming company, which provides capital to the mining sector across diversified commodities. We encouraged them to set emissions reduction targets for scopes 1, 2 and 3 and we introduced the company to the Science-Based Target initiative's (SBTi) small and medium-sized enterprise (SME) framework. This engagement is alignment with UN Sustainable Development Goal 13. What you have done: We began to engage with Ecora Resources on climate change in 2022, encouraging them to set emissions reduction targets for scopes 1, 2 and 3. The UK small and mid-cap team, together with sustainability colleagues, first met with Ecora Resources' management in November 2022. We engaged with the company across a range of climate issues including offsets their ESG screening process, sustainability targets in remuneration and in particular setting science-based target. We introduced the company with only 14 employees, this option allowed Ecora to overcome capacity constraints, whilst allowing it to set an industry-standard science-based target. Initially there were concerns over the suitability of this pathway as a royalty company since Financial Institut



Activity	Schroder Life Intermediated Diversified Growth Fund		
			minimum of 43% by 2030. Specifically, the company has committed to reducing scope 1 and scope 2 emissions by 46%, by 2030, from a 2019 base year, and to measure and reduce its scope 3 emissions through engagement with its operating partners. As an additional objective, the company has committed to maintaining carbon neutrality regarding their scope 1, 2 and upstream scope 3 emissions. We would consider this to be an initially successful engagement and, as shareholders, plan to continue to engage with the company to promote best practice going forward and to monitor progress. One of our long-term desired outcomes of climate engagement is robust governance and oversight of climate-related risks, thus Ecora Resources setting Science Based Targets and having them validate is a great step towards this.
Do you engage in voting for this fund?	Yes,	Do you conduct your own votes?	As active owners, we recognise our responsibility to make considered use of voting rights. We therefore vote on all resolutions at all AGMs/EGMs globally unless we are restricted from doing so (e.g. as a result of share blocking).
Do you use a third party to vote on your behalf? If Yes, please provide the details of your provider and any comments	Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS) act as our one service provider for the processing of all proxy votes in all markets. ISS delivers vote processing through its Internet-based platform Proxy Exchange. Schroders receives recommendations from ISS in line with our own bespoke guidelines, in addition, we receive ISS's Benchmark research. This is complemented with analysis by our in house ESG specialists and where appropriate with reference to financial analysts and portfolio managers. Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS) Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS) act as our one service provider for the processing of all proxy votes in all markets. ISS delivers vote processing through its Internet-based platform Proxy Exchange. Schroders receives recommendations from ISS in line with our own bespoke guidelines, in addition, we receive ISS's Benchmark research. This is complemented with analysis by our in house ESG specialists and where appropriate with reference to financial analysts and portfolio managers.	How many votes were proposed across the underlying companies in the fund?	14566
How many times did you vote in favour of management?	12209	How many times did you vote against management?	1466
How many votes did you abstain from?	58		



Activity	Schroder Life Intermediated Diversified Growth Fund		
Do you have a vote you consider the most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, JPMorgan Chase & Co. 2023-01-24T00:00:00Z Report on Climate Transition Plan Describing Efforts to Align Financing Activities with GHG Targets Environmental 0.0016 For The company is asked to produce a report disclosing how it intends to align its financing activities with its 2030 sectoral GHG emission reduction targets. We welcome additional disclosures that help better understand how the company is implementing its climate strategy. We believe that how we have voted is in the best financial interest of our clients' investments. FAIL We may tell the company of our intention to vote against the recommendations of the board before voting, in particular if we are large shareholders or if we have an active engagement on the issue. We always inform companies after voting against any of the board's recommendations.	Do you have a vote you consider the second most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'second most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Amazon.com, Inc. 2023-01-09T00:00:002 Report on Efforts to Reduce Plastic Use Yes 0.004 For A vote for this proposal is warranted as we believe that the Company should be making meaningful steps towards eliminating use of plastic within the Company and its operations. More disclosure would enable shareholders to have a more comprehensive understanding of progress. We believe how we have voted is in the best financial interests of our clients' investments. FAIL We may tell the company of our intention to vote against the recommendations of the board before voting, in particular if we are large shareholders or if we have an active engagement on the issue. We always inform companies after voting against any of the board's recommendations.
Do you have a vote you consider the third most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'third most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Alphabet Inc. 2023-03-10T00:00:00Z Report on Framework to Assess Company Lobbying Alignment with Climate Goals E&S Blended 0.007 For Shareholders would benefit from additional disclosure on how the company's lobbying activities align to its climate goals and how it addresses any misalignment with its trade associations and other indirect lobbying activities. FAIL We may tell the company of our intention to vote against the recommendations of the board before voting, in particular if we are large shareholders or if we have an active engagement on the issue. We always inform companies after voting against any of the board's recommendations.	Do you have a vote you consider the fourth most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'fourth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Jazz Pharmaceuticals plc 2023-05-16T00:00:00Z Elect Director Rick E. Winningham Director Election 0.0001 Against Climate: Behind peers on climate risk management and oversight, we believe the way in which we have voted is in the best financial interests of our clients investments. PASS We may tell the company of our intention to vote against the recommendations of the board before voting, in particular if we are large shareholders or if we have an active engagement on the issue. We always inform companies after voting against any of the board's recommendations.
Do you have a vote you consider the fifth most significant for this fund?:	Yes, Oracle Corporation 2023-05-24T00:00:00Z Report on Median and Adjusted Gender/Racial Pay Gaps Social 0.0005 For Shareholders could benefit from the median pay gap statistics that would allow them to compare and measure the progress of the company's diversity and inclusion initiatives, and how it is positioning itself to realise the benefits of a diverse workforce. We believe that how we have voted is in the best financial interest of our clients' investments.	Do you have a vote you consider the sixth most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'sixth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Fortescue Ltd. 2023-06-02T00:00:00Z Remuneration Report Compensation Against Excessive discretion applied in recent years. Additionally we are concerned with the quantum of remuneration linked to 'strategic' goals and targets which are open to interpretation and are not guaranteed to create shareholder value. We would prefer the reward for such move to be triggered by financial outcomes (e.g. referencing ROCE or NTA growth). FAIL



Activity	Schroder Life Intermediated Diversified Growth Fund		
	FAIL We may tell the company of our intention to vote against the recommendations of the board before voting, in particular if we are large shareholders or if we have an active engagement on the issue. We always inform companies after voting against any of the board's recommendations.		We may tell the company of our intention to vote against the recommendations of the board before voting, in particular if we are large shareholders or if we have an active engagement on the issue. We always inform companies after voting against any of the board's recommendations.
Do you have a vote you consider the seventh most significant for this fund?:	Yes, Microsoft Corporation 2023-07-12T00:00:00Z Report on Risks of Operating in Countries with Significant Human Rights Concerns Social 0.008 For Shareholders would benefit from further disclosure on how the company mitigates risks in markets in which it operates where there are significant human rights concerns. We believe how we have voted is in the best financial interests of our clients' investments. FAIL We may tell the company of our intention to vote against the recommendations of the board before voting, in particular if we are large shareholders or if we have an active engagement on the issue. We always inform companies after voting against any of the board's recommendations.	Do you have a vote you consider the eighth most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'eighth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Tyson Foods, Inc. 2024-08-02T00:00:00Z Shareholder Proposal Regarding Lobbying Activity Alignment with Science-based Targets and Net Zero Emissions Ambitions Environmental 0 For Shareholders would benefit from further information outlining how the company's lobbying activities are aligned to its science-based targets and net zero commitments to better help shareholders understand any potential risks related to lobbying activities that do not align with these commitments, if any. We believe how we have voted is in the best financial interests of our clients' commitments. FAIL We may tell the company of our intention to vote against the recommendations of the board before voting, in particular if we are large shareholders or if we have an active engagement on the issue. We always inform companies after voting against any of the board's recommendations.
Do you have a vote you consider the nineth most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'nineth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Apple Inc 2023-11-21T00:00:00Z Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Use of Artificial Intelligence Social 0.003 For Shareholders would benefit from further disclosure and information on how the company is using AI and managing any related risks, including ethical risks, that may result. We believe how we have voted is in the best financial interests of our clients' investments. FAIL We may tell the company of our intention to vote against the recommendations of the board before voting, in particular if we are large shareholders or if we have an active engagement on the issue. We always inform companies after voting against any of the board's recommendations.	Do you have a vote you consider the tenth most significant for this fund?: Company name Date of the Vote Summary of the resolution On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be 'tenth most significant'? Approximate size of the fund's/ mandate's holding as a the date of the vote How did you vote? Rationale of the voting decision Outcome of the vote Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes, Deere & Co. 2023-12-07T00:00:00Z Shareholder Proposal Regarding Severance Approval Policy Compensation 0.001 For We believe that the proposed changes to the severance policy would strengthen shareholder' rights and mitigate risks regarding excessive severance arrangements. We believe how we have voted is in the best financial interests of our clients' investments. FAIL We may tell the company of our intention to vote against the recommendations of the board before voting, in particular if we are large shareholders or if we have an active engagement on the issue. We always inform companies after voting against any of the board's recommendations.
Do you monitor the carbon emission levels or similar of the underlying companies in the fund?	Our approach to sustainability in multi-asset considers both a top-down view when allocating to asset classes and a bottom-up one when selecting securities. We monitor portfolio-level climate metrics using our central ESG tools, we have the capacity to look through exposures and consider	What was the actual turnover rate over the last 12 months?	114.23



Activity	Schroder Life Intermediated Diversified Growth Fund		
	drivers of emissions levels and can engage with the underlying manager where we deem it necessary. It is our underlying specialist equity, fixed income and alternatives teams within Schroders who are responsible for the routine monitoring the carbon emissions levels of the underlying companies we have allocated capital to.		

Important Information



Mobius Life Limited (Mobius Life) has prepared this report for professional advisers and institutional investors only. The purpose of this report is to provide information on Mobius Life's own products and services and nothing in this presentation, or any supporting material, should be regarded as a personal recommendation. This report is not intended for onward transmission.

The value of investments, and the income from them, may go down as well as up and investors may not get back the amount originally invested. Where an investment is denominated in a currency other than sterling, changes in exchange rates between currencies may cause investment values or income to rise or fall. Past performance should not be seen as a reliable indicator of future results. Any past performance quoted is based on dealing valuations.

Within a fund, the asset allocations and choice of asset managers are at the discretion of Mobius Life and may change without notification to the investor.

Where a fund is invested with another Life Company by means of a reinsurance arrangement, Mobius Life monitors the way the reinsurer manages its business, but does not guarantee the solvency of the reinsurer, so the risk of default by the reinsurer is borne by policyholders who invest in the relevant fund(s).

A Liability Driven Investment (LDI) is a specialist fund that uses sophisticated techniques to meet it objective. An LDI fund may invest in a range of assets such as derivatives, swaps and bonds which individually may have a high degree of risk, be difficult to sell in stressed markets and/or be unregulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Leverage is used as part of the management of an LDI fund, this can increase the overall volatility of the fund and any events that adversely affects the value of an investment would be magnified to the extent that leverage is employed.

All information is sourced to Mobius Life unless otherwise stated.

Mobius Life Limited is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority. Mobius Life Administration Services is not authorised or regulated.

Mobius Life Limited (Registered No. 3104978) and Mobius Life Administration Services (Registered No. 5754821) are registered in England and Wales at: 3rd Floor, 20 Gresham Street, London EC2V 7JE.



WINNER
Institutional Investment
Platform Provider
of the Year



WINNER

Institutional Investment Platform Provider of the Year Mobius Life



WINNER

Institutional Investment Platform Provider of the Year Mobius Life



WINNER

Institutional Investment
Platform Provider of the Year
Mobius Life



Institutional Investment Platform Provider of the Year Mobius Life

